

This is a repository copy of *Identifying deformation mechanisms in molecular dynamics simulations of laser shocked matter*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/121529/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

White, T. G., Tikku, A., Alves Silva, M. F. et al. (3 more authors) (2017) Identifying deformation mechanisms in molecular dynamics simulations of laser shocked matter. *Journal of Computational Physics*. pp. 16-24. ISSN 0021-9991

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2017.08.040>

---

**Reuse**

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

# Identifying deformation mechanisms in molecular dynamics simulations of laser shocked matter

T. G. White<sup>a,b</sup>, A. Tikku<sup>b</sup>, M. Alves Silva<sup>b</sup>, G. Gregori<sup>a</sup>, A. Higginbotham<sup>c</sup>,  
D. E. Eakins<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Physics, University of Oxford, Parks Road, Oxford, OX1 3PU, United Kingdom*

<sup>b</sup>*Institute of Shock Physics, Imperial College London, London, SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom*

<sup>c</sup>*York Plasma Institute, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, United Kingdom*

---

## Abstract

In this paper we demonstrate a new post-processing technique that allows straightforward identification of deformation mechanisms in molecular dynamics simulations. We utilise reciprocal space methods by calculating a per-atom structure factor (PASf) to visualise changes in volume, orientation and structure, thus allowing unambiguous discrimination between key deformation/relaxation mechanisms such as uniaxial strain, twinning and structural phase transformations. The full 3-D PASf is reduced to a 2-D representation by taking only those points which lie on the surface of an ellipsoid passing through the nearest reciprocal lattice points. Projecting this 2-D representation onto the set of spherical harmonics allows for a numerical characterisation of the system state that easily captures various plastic deformation mechanisms that have been historically difficult to identify. The technique is used to successfully classify high temperature twinning rotations in shock compressed tantalum and to identify the  $\alpha$  to  $\omega$  phase transition in group-IV hcp metals.

*Keywords:* Structure Identification, Shock waves, Molecular Dynamics, Twinning, Plasticity

---

## 1. Introduction

Atomistic simulation through molecular dynamics (MD) has become an invaluable tool for modelling the response of material undergoing high rate

deformation, such as that experienced during material irradiation with a high intensity optical laser[1, 2, 3, 4]. Such conditions are of fundamental scientific interest and remain an active area of research due to their application in planetary science[5], materials synthesis[6] and within thermonuclear fusion research and the long-term goal of facilities such as the National Ignition Facility, USA[7, 8]. The short timescales and high strain-rates present in these experiments make them particularly amenable to MD simulation, which has become an indispensable tool for investigating the richness of lattice level behaviours activated at these high temperature and pressure conditions, such as defect generation, twinning, dislocation motion and structural phase transitions[9, 10, 11, 12].

Experiments in this field, routinely performed on state-of-the-art 4th generation light sources where the X-ray source is used as a probe, drive micron sized volumes of material to mega-bar conditions over picosecond timescales[13, 14, 15] and we are fast approaching a point where MD and free electron lasers (FELs) operate at commensurate scales. A recent experiment recorded in situ X-ray diffraction from Cu shocked to pressures of 100 GPa and demonstrated a remarkable agreement between MD and experiment, providing greater mechanistic understanding of the deformation mechanics present[13]. However, the analysis of large-scale MD simulations is non-trivial and real space methods currently have difficulty identifying some specific transitions of fundamental interest ( $\alpha$  to  $\omega$  phase transition, high temperature twinning, etc).

Classical MD simulations typically keep track of just particle positions and velocities which then evolve according to a Hamiltonian and a prescribed interatomic potential. Hence, characteristic features of plastic deformation such as slip planes, Burgers vectors, lattice rotations, phase transformations or even unit cells must be computed *a posteriori*. Numerous real-space order parameters exist such as coordination number, common neighbor analysis[16] (CNA), centrosymmetry parameter[17] or bond angle analysis[18] which excel at determining structural changes such as solid-solid phase transitions and gives excellent spatial resolution, allowing parameters to be calculated on a per-atom basis. However, they work only for common structures and the inherently real space nature of these techniques mean their accuracy remains extremely sensitive to local disorder from high temperatures or large defect generation, both of which are present in laser shocked matter. A summary of existing analysis techniques can be found in the review article by A. Stukowski[19].

Here we introduce a framework based on the per-atom structure factor (PASF) concept developed by Higginbotham *et al.*[20]. This reciprocal space technique is easily able to identify lattice level changes such as uniaxial compression, crystallographic orientation or phase transformations in highly disordered or high temperature samples. This extension, achieved through projecting an ellipsoidal slice of reciprocal space onto the set of spherical harmonics, produces a numerical characterization of the system that can be used to color or categorize the atoms to aid visualization, removing the need to know structures, strains or orientations *a-priori*.

The use of spherical harmonics in atomic structure identification is not new - see for example [21, 22, 23], or the section on Fourier descriptors in the work by Keys *et al.* [24], which matches Harmonic decompositions of real space density. The plethora of techniques available highlight the difficulties associated with accurate structure identification. Used in conjunction with the per-atom structure factor Harmonic decomposition provides benefits in the analysis of laser-shocked solids that has not been presented before. In contrast to previous work our method remains intentionally sensitive to lattice compression, achieved through taking a slice rather than a projection of reciprocal space, and to lattice rotations, allowing identification of twinning and twin fraction. This work provides a middle ground which alleviates issues with existing approaches; this is demonstrated in the much more complex environment of a shock, where effects due to disorder must be mitigated.

## 2. Per Atom Structure Factor

The basis of this method is calculating the atomic structure factor, a technique analogous to taking the discrete Fourier transform of the atomic positions. The structure factor easily identifies periodicity in the crystal micro-structure and, in a solid with a single atomic species, is defined by,

$$S(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{M} \left| \sum_{n=1}^M e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_n} \right|^2 \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{k}$  is a reciprocal space lattice vector and  $\mathbf{r}_n$  are the atomic coordinates. The summation in equation 1 typically runs from  $n = 1$  to  $M$ , where  $M$  is the total number of atoms in the simulation, hence the structure factor is by definition a non-local metric. For decades, calculation of the crystal




Figure 1: Prototypical evolution of the lattice-level micro-structure for a bcc system during a laser induced shock. From left-to-right is the unit cell, the effect of 20% uniaxial compression along  $z$ , a lattice rotation consistent with the  $\{112\}\langle 111\rangle$  twin systems expected in bcc material and lastly a phase change to a hcp structure. Shown is the crystallographic unit cell (a), the iso-surface of the 3-D PASF (b) and the equirectangular projection of the ellipsoid slice (c). The color in the 3-D PASF is used to highlight the symmetries present.

structure factor has allowed comparison between experimentally recorded X-ray diffraction patterns and theoretically derived crystal micro-structures as, within the kinematic approximation, the intensity of an X-ray diffraction pattern is related to the modulus squared of the structure factor calculated over the X-ray interaction region[25].

In order to reduce the crystal structure factor to a per-atom quantity we reduce the region over which the summation in equation 1 runs to include only those atoms that lie within a predetermined radius around each target atom. This quantity we call the per-atom structure factor. A judicious choice of this radius is required, as too small a number will result in too few atoms being within the calculation sphere increasing the effect of noise and hiding any periodicity or symmetries present in the crystal. On the other hand, a larger radius will afford an increased reciprocal space resolution at the cost of real space resolution and thus could obscure features smaller than the chosen radius. In agreement with previous work we find a radius of 4 Å, typically producing a sphere containing between 10 and 20 atoms, to provide a good balance between these competing effects[20].

An outline of the general method is given in Fig. 1. The top row shows real space representation of a body-centred cubic (bcc) crystal structure along with three additional structures that could occur during prototypical high rate crystal deformation; these are a 20% uniaxial compression along  $z$ , a lattice rotation consistent with the  $\{112\}<111>$  twin systems expected in bcc material and lastly a phase transformation into hexagonal close packed (hcp), e.g. the  $\alpha$  to  $\epsilon$  phase transition in iron. The middle row of Fig. 1 shows high resolution iso-surfaces of the PASF for each real space lattice, calculated with a reciprocal space resolution of  $10^{-2}$  Å<sup>-1</sup> and containing  $10^6$  k-points. From each 3-D PASF the deformation mechanism is readily apparent, as indicated by the arrows. However, there is a large computational cost with calculating such a number of reciprocal space values.

To reduce this computation cost without assuming *a-priori* knowledge of the system, here we instead calculate the value of the 3-D PASF on a ellipsoid slice through reciprocal space which passes through the nearest neighbour reciprocal lattice points, i.e. the outer vertices of the second Brillouin zone. The same reciprocal space resolution can be achieved with just  $10^3$  k-points. The final row of Fig. 1 shows the equirectangular projections,  $S(\theta, \phi)$ , of these ellipsoidal slices for each of the cases discussed above. In each case the effect of crystal deformation is easily identified by the 2-D plot and the crystal symmetries readily apparent; uniaxial strain leads to the k-space reflections

moving away from the origin reducing their intensity, a rotation causes the reflections to move on the surface but the number remain the same, while a phase transformation changes the pattern drastically. Although some information is lost from the 3-D to 2-D representation the huge computational speed up allows this per-atom quantity to be calculated for millions of atoms within minutes.

Previous work has taken linear slices through reciprocal space in order to visualise changes in the PASF, but has required *a-priori* knowledge of the deformation mechanism to determine where to slice the data; our method is more general and more lenient. However, the lengths of the three semi-principal axes of the ellipsoid must still be defined in advance; these should be chosen to intersect as many of the reciprocal space reflections as possible and intersect the outer vertices of the second Brillouin zone. For an initial bcc structure this is given by  $a_k = b_k = c_k = 2\pi\frac{\sqrt{2}}{a}$ , for fcc it is given by  $a_k = b_k = c_k = 2\pi\frac{\sqrt{3}}{a}$  and for hcp it is given by  $a_k = b_k = 2\pi\frac{4}{3a}$  and  $c_k = 2\pi\frac{2}{c}$ . In each case  $a$  and  $c$  are the lengths of the unit cell in real space and  $a_k$ ,  $b_k$ ,  $c_k$  the lengths of semi-principal axes in reciprocal space. The inclusion of the factor of  $2\pi$  is related to the definition of the reciprocal lattice. It is, of course, possible to perform the analysis for multiple distinct ellipses (e.g. fcc, bcc and hcp) in cases where the crystal structure is unknown in advance and compare the results to aid in structure identification.

It should be noted that although the ellipse is defined in terms of the initial lattice parameters it is generally not necessary to dynamically alter the ellipsoid during deformation. This is in part due to the broadening of the peaks in reciprocal space which is inversely proportional to the radius of the real space sphere over which the PASF is calculated. Since here the real space radius is extremely small, 4 Å in this case, reciprocal space blurring ensures even large deformations of up to 20% can be identified on the ellipsoid, see Fig. 1. Although allowing the ellipse to dynamically deform per atom could allow for easier identification of crystal structure, removing any dependence on compression or rotation, however, it would introduce difficulties regarding correspondence between different atoms and increase computational requirements. Future work could extend the method introduced here in this way to increase the generality of the method.

### 2.1. Projection onto Spherical Harmonics

Taking only an ellipsoidal slice of reciprocal space affords a computational speed up over calculating the full 3-D PASF. Furthermore, by projecting




Figure 2: Examples of the spherical harmonics utilized in this work, where  $Y_1^m$  and  $Y_3^m$  have been omitted for clarity. The magnitude of the spherical harmonic is shown as distance from the origin with positive values yellow and negative values blue. The  $Y_4^{-3}$  and  $Y_4^3$  harmonics are sensitive to lattice rotations, while the harmonics with degree  $m=0$  characterize uniaxial compression.

the 2-D PASF onto the set of spherical harmonics, defined as the angular portion of the solution to Laplace's equation, produces a series of coefficients characterizing the lattice deformation. The set of spherical harmonics form a complete set of orthonormal functions, however here we make use of the first 25, projecting the 2-D PASF onto only these (see Fig. 2),

$$c_l^m = \frac{1}{N} \int_{\Omega} S(\theta, \phi) Y_l^m(\theta, \phi) d\Omega \quad (2)$$

resulting in a series of coefficients,  $c_l^m$ , which can be used to characterize the lattice and discriminate between various deformations. Here,  $S(\theta, \phi)$  is the 2-D PASF,  $Y_l^m(\theta, \phi)$  the spherical harmonic with order  $l$  and degree  $m$  and  $N$  a normalisation factor equal to  $\int_{\Omega} S(\theta, \phi) d\Omega$ .

Due to the symmetrical nature of both the spherical harmonics and of reciprocal space, the resultant coefficients are close to zero for most perfect crystals and identically zero for odd order harmonics. As deformation and symmetry breaking occurs, however, they can gain large finite values. Table 1 gives the values of these coefficients calculated for an atom at the centre of a lattice undergoing the idealized deformation cases shown in Fig. 1. While not all coefficients demonstrate large sensitivity to crystal changes it can be seen that  $c_4^{-3}$  and  $c_4^3$  are particularly sensitive to lattice rotations around  $x$  and  $y$ , while the coefficients with degree zero help to characterize uniaxial



Table 1: Values of the projected spherical harmonics for the prototypical crystal deformation given in Fig. 1. Values are given for a standard bcc lattice, 20% uniaxial compression along z, a lattice rotation consistent with the  $\{112\}\langle 111\rangle$  twin system in a bcc material and phase transformation to a hcp structure. The value of each coefficient is given to 2 significant figures.

	bcc	bcc <sub>uc</sub>	bcc <sub>rot</sub>	hcp
$c_0^0$	10.4	6.5	10.2	4.7
$c_2^0$	0.0	-2.8	0.0	-0.8
$c_4^{-3}$	0.0	0.0	-3.1	0.0
$c_4^0$	-4.0	3.0	2.6	-1.5
$c_4^3$	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0
$c_4^4$	-3.4	-8.1	-0.1	-0.2

compression along the z axis, typically aligned along the shock direction. Inspecting the spherical harmonics in Fig. 2 demonstrates that this is due to the negative or low equatorial values and increasingly positive or large values towards the polar regions.

The set of spherical harmonic coefficients can then be used to either visualize or classify the MD simulation on a per-atom basis. This results in a clear identification of each region of the crystal and of the different deformation mechanisms present.

The current algorithm, implemented in MATLAB, is able to compute the first 25 coefficients at a rate of approximately 1000 atoms per second per CPU core. The algorithm demonstrates an almost linear scaling with number of cores ( $t \propto n^{-0.8}$ ) and number of atoms ( $t \propto n^{0.94}$ ). See supplementary information for further details.

### 3. Examples

In order to demonstrate the flexibility of the method we investigate two trial systems that represent challenging deformation mechanisms to identify and visualize with current standard techniques. The first is deformation twinning in Ta where the crystal structure remains constant but the lattice undergoes a rotation during deformation-induced twinning. The second is the  $\alpha$  to  $\omega$  phase transformation in Ti which cannot currently be identified with standard real space techniques. All simulations were performed in the LAMMPS code[26] and visualized using the OVITO visualization and analysis software for atomistic simulation data[27].




Figure 3: The results of an MD simulation of Ta with a piston velocity of  $0.8 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  using the extended Finnis-Sinclair potential with an initial temperature of (a) 5 K and (b) 1000 K. The shown sample is  $462 \times 462 \times 6605 \text{ \AA}^3$  and contains approximately 70 million atoms. The top visualization is colored according to standard CNA techniques (bcc - blue, hcp - red, Uncategorized - white) while the bottom figure shows the results of categorization based upon the spherical harmonic coefficients  $c_4^{-3}$  and  $c_4^3$  detailed in the text. Blue represents the un-twinned orientation with green, red, cyan, and yellow denoting the twinned orientations. Equirectangular projections of the ellipsoid surface for the un-twinned and four twinning categories are shown in (c).

### 3.1. Deformation Twinning in Tantalum

We present large scale MD simulations of shock compressed Ta using the extended Finnis-Sinclair potential[28, 29, 30]. As shown previously, for shock waves propagating along the [001] direction at pressures above the Hugoniot elastic limit, stress relaxation occurs through deformation twinning[20, 31]. Although previously studied, here Ta acts as an example where current visualization techniques either fail or require significant input to differentiate between each of the four  $\{112\}\langle 111\rangle$  twin regions. Previous work has utilized the concept of the PASF represented through planar sections of reciprocal space to identify the four different twin states, however *a-priori* knowledge of the twin systems was required. While this requirement was justified in the case of deformation twinning, which obeys specific orientation relationships between parent and twinned crystals, this is not necessarily the case for all structural transformations.

The utilized extended Finnis-Sinclair potential does well to reproduce the zero pressure phonon dispersion curves and the high pressure elastic constants, as well as having excellent agreement between the experimental and simulated shock Hugoniot. Samples of  $140 \times 140 \times 2000$  cells ( $462 \times 462 \times 6605$  Å<sup>3</sup>) were initially thermalized to 5 K and 1000 K before being driven by a reflective wall piston along the [001] direction with a fixed velocity of  $U_p = 0.8$  km s<sup>-1</sup>, generating pressures in excess of the single crystal HEL for this potential ( $\sim 50$  GPa[20]). It should be noted that this value is far in excess of the HEL of both polycrystalline[32] and single crystal[33] Ta. Thus, it should be used as an opportunity to discuss deformation twinning mechanisms and demonstrate the technique rather than for use as a predictive tool. Periodic boundary conditions were chosen for the two transverse directions. The low starting temperature of 5 K ensures twinning remains the dominant deformation mechanism while the 1000 K simulation is used to demonstrate the robustness of the method at high temperatures.

Adaptive common neighbor analysis[19] was performed with the visualization tool OVITO[27] and is shown in the top image of Fig. 3a. Due to the sensitivity of the coefficients  $c_4^{-3}$  and  $c_4^3$  to lattice rotations we utilized these to identify each of the four degenerate  $\{112\}\langle 111\rangle$  twin systems[34] that exist in Ta. Each of the twins are characterized by the value of the coefficients being either both positive, both negative, positive and negative or negative and positive. Values where the modulus of the coefficient was found to be less than 0.5 were left uncategorized. The resultant coloring shown at the bottom of Fig. 3 is similar to previous work but only required knowledge




Figure 4: The results of an MD simulation of Ta with a piston velocity of  $0.6 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  employing a 5 ps ramp. The simulation utilized the Ravelo potential with an initial temperature of 300 K. The shown sample is  $231 \times 233 \times 3400 \text{ \AA}^3$  and contains 11,207,000 atoms. The top visualization is colored according to standard CNA techniques (bcc - blue, hcp - red, Uncategorized - white) while the bottom figure shows the results of categorization based upon the spherical harmonic coefficients  $c_4^{-3}$  and  $c_4^3$  detailed in the text. Blue represents the un-twinned orientation with green, red, cyan, and yellow denoting the twinned orientations.

of the equilibrium lattice constant. The common neighbor analysis identifies the appearance of crystallites with the same crystallographic structure as present ahead of the shock, however each of the four twin systems cannot be uniquely identified. For the high temperature case shown in Fig. 3b the CNA analysis performs even more poorly, particularly in the elastically deformed region ahead of the shock. We attribute this to the effect of temperature and lattice vibrations on the real space analysis, while the 2-D PASF technique still adequately identifies each twinned region. A representative atom within each of the twinned regions was chosen and Fig. 3c shows the equirectangular projection for the original lattice and each of the four twin states. The similarity to the rotated lattice shown in Fig. 1 shows that these are rotated bcc lattices with an orientation relationship in agreement with  $\{112\}\langle 111 \rangle$ .

Quantitative information on the state of the system can also be extracted from the simulations. For example, twin volume fraction can be computed by combining the classification of twins with the volume of the particle's Voronoi cell calculated through OVITO[27]. The resultant twin volume fractions are given in Table 2 and are consistent with previous high volume fractions found for the Finnis-Sinclair potential [20]. Variation in the twin volume fraction




Figure 5: The results of an MD simulation of Ti with a piston velocity of  $0.75 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  using the Trinkle potential with an initial temperature of 30 K. The shown sample is  $147 \times 234 \times 2556 \text{ \AA}^3$  and contains 5012500 atoms. The top visualization is coloured according to standard CNA techniques (bcc - blue, hcp - red, Uncategorised - white) while the bottom figure shows the coefficient of the  $Y_2^0$  spherical harmonic. Each region (A - un-shocked, B,C - uniaxially compressed, D -  $90^\circ$  lattice rotation, E - phase transformation to  $\omega$ ) is clearly visible while the full 3-D PASF aids in phase identification. The red, green and blue coloring has been chosen to enable the reader to more easily compare the different deformation mechanisms.

obtained by increasing and decreasing the radius of the PASF sphere by 1 Å are denoted by the sub- and superscripts in the table. We find little variation by increasing the sphere radius above 4 Å while larger variation occurs when decreasing to 3 Å due to a reduction in the number of atoms in the sphere.

We also demonstrate our method with a potential that predicts a substantially lower twinning fraction by utilizing the Ta1 potential created by Ravelo et al.[31]. A Ta crystal  $231 \times 233 \times 3400$  Å<sup>3</sup> in volume and consisting of  $\sim 11$  million atoms was thermalised to 300 K. Following the procedure detailed in [31] the crystal is shocked along the [110] direction with a piston velocity of 0.6 km s<sup>-1</sup> initiated with a 5 ps ramp. A snapshot of the simulation taken at 60 ps was analyzed with the SH method and the CNA technique, see Fig. 4. In agreement with previous work we find twin nucleation at the shock front and twins that rapidly thicken and grow. However, in contrast to the Finnis-Sinclair potential, only two twin orientations are present and a significantly lower twin volume fraction of 13.5% is found. This calculated twin volume fraction is more similar to that found in nano-indentation experiments on Ta[35].

Table 2: Values of the twin volume fraction (%) in shock compressed Ta using the extended Finnis-Sinclair (FS) potential initialized at 0 K and 1000 K and the Ravelo potential initialized at 300 K. The twin volume was calculated by combining the classification mechanism defined in the text with the volume of each particle’s Voronoi cell. The sub- and superscripts denote the variation in the result with varying the sphere radius from 4 Å to 3 Å and 5 Å respectively.

Twin Variant	FS <sub>0K</sub> (%)	FS <sub>1000K</sub> (%)	Ravelo <sub>300K</sub> (%)
1	15.1 <sup>-0.6</sup> <sub>-1.2</sub>	21.7 <sup>-0.3</sup> <sub>-1.9</sub>	0.0 <sup>+0.0</sup> <sub>-0.0</sub>
2	26.8 <sup>-0.1</sup> <sub>-1.3</sub>	22.4 <sup>-0.2</sup> <sub>-1.9</sub>	0.0 <sup>+0.0</sup> <sub>-0.0</sub>
3	16.6 <sup>-0.4</sup> <sub>-1.3</sub>	19.8 <sup>-0.3</sup> <sub>-1.7</sub>	4.2 <sup>+0.1</sup> <sub>-0.3</sub>
4	28.7 <sup>-0.1</sup> <sub>-1.5</sub>	21.7 <sup>-0.2</sup> <sub>-1.9</sub>	9.3 <sup>+0.0</sup> <sub>-0.6</sub>
Total	87.2 <sup>-1.2</sup> <sub>-5.3</sub>	85.6 <sup>-1.0</sup> <sub>-7.4</sub>	13.5 <sup>+0.1</sup> <sub>-0.9</sub>

### 3.2. Twinning and Phase change in Titanium

Secondly we present large scale MD simulations of shock compressed Ti. Ti is a group-IV hcp metal which undergoes an  $\alpha$  (hcp) to  $\omega$  (hexagonal) phase transition at high pressure. This phase transition has been extensively studied both computationally and experimentally and, when using the

Trinkle potential[36, 37], the phase transition proceeds through a collective deformation mode, namely a  $90^\circ$  lattice rotation that does not conform to any known hcp twin systems. Furthermore, the  $\omega$  phase can be difficult to identify with standard techniques such as common neighbour analysis. Here we show that the  $c_2^0$  spherical harmonic coefficient is able to identify the elastic compression, lattice rotation and phase transition in a single parameter and that the 3-D PASF allows easy identification in each region.

Simulations of  $50 \times 50 \times 500$  cells ( $147 \times 234 \times 2556 \text{ \AA}^3$ ) containing 5012500 atoms were initially thermalized to 30 K before being driven by a reflective wall piston along the  $[10\bar{1}0]$  direction with a fixed velocity of  $U_p = 0.75 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . Periodic boundary conditions were chosen for the two transverse directions. The top image in Fig. 5 has been colored according to adaptive common neighbor analysis while the bottom figure is coloured according to the  $c_2^0$  spherical harmonic coefficient. Five distinct regions are clearly identified. A representative atom in the centre of each region was selected and the 3-D PASF is shown. The initial un-shocked sample (region A) has the characteristic PASF for a hcp lattice, a hexagonal pattern interspersed with a central line of points. Initially the sample undergoes uniaxial compression along the shock direction; this compression in real space manifests as an expansion in reciprocal space, the spots highlighted by the isosurface move outwards (region B). Secondly the  $90^\circ$  lattice rotation occurs from region B to D, resulting in a  $90^\circ$  reflection of the PASF about the  $k_x$  axis, although regions of un-rotated sample at a higher compression remain (region C). The final deformation mechanism is a phase change from  $\alpha$  to  $\omega$  (region E). The  $\omega$  phase is identifiable by a central point surrounded by a hexagon of neighboring k-space points, while for the hcp lattice the central point is offset. The phase transition here is consistent with the orientation relationship found in previous work[38, 39]. Each region is clearly identified by the  $c_2^0$  spherical harmonic coefficient.

#### 4. Conclusion

An extension of a technique for identification of both elastic and plastic deformation in molecular dynamics simulations is presented. In agreement with previous work, the full 3-D per atom structure factor, although computationally intensive, is shown to easily identify elastic deformation, twinning and phase changes, even at high temperatures and with the large defect concentrations present in high-rate deformation. A faster and less compu-

tationally intensive method based around taking a 2-D ellipsoid slice of reciprocal space was shown to retain a significant portion of the information contained in the 3-D counterpart and furthermore could be projected onto the set of spherical harmonics to provide a numerical value for classification or visualization.

The method was demonstrated on two test cases. In shock compressed Ta each of the four  $\{112\}\langle 111\rangle$  twin systems were able to be visualized using the spherical harmonics  $Y_1^{-1}$  and  $Y_1^1$ . In shock compressed Ti the same method but utilizing the  $Y_2^0$  spherical harmonic was successfully able to simultaneously visualize deformation regions corresponding to uniaxial elastic compression, lattice rotation and the  $\alpha$  to  $\omega$  phase transition.

The robustness and speed of this method suggest that building both the 2-D PASF and 3-D PASF into existing MD visualization software or post-processing tools would be beneficial to those studying shock deformation. Future work involving modifying the ellipsoid dynamically would improve generality of the model. Furthermore, the reduction of information from the 3-D PASF, to the 2-D PASF and finally the set of spherical harmonic coefficients could one day be used together with machine learning algorithms to aid in identification of lattice deformation mechanics.

## 5. Funding

This work was supported by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council [grant numbers EP/K034332/1, EP/M022331/1, EP/N014472/1 and EP/N002644/1]; the European Research Council under the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) / ERC [grant numbers 256973 and 247039]; AWE plc.; and the Science and Technology Facilities Council of the United Kingdom.

- [1] A. Higginbotham, P. G. Stubbley, A. J. Comley, J. H. Eggert, J. M. Foster, D. H. Kalantar, D. McGonegle, S. Patel, L. J. Peacock, S. D. Rothman, R. F. Smith, M. J. Suggit and J. S. Wark, *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 24211 (2016).
- [2] B. L. Holian, *Shock Waves* **13**, 489 (2004).
- [3] M. A. Wood, M. J. Cherukara, E. Antillon and A. Strachan, *Molecular Dynamics Simulations of Shock Loading of Materials: A Review and Tutorial* in: A. L. Parrill, K. B. Lipkowitz (Eds.), *Reviews in*



Computational Chemistry, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 2017.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119356059.ch2>

- [4] T. P. Remington, B. A. Remington, E. N. Hahn, M. A. Meyers, *Mat. Sci. Eng. A*, **688** 429 (2017). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2017.01.114>.
- [5] M. A. Morales, E. Schwegler, D. Ceperley, C. Pierleoni, S. Hamel and K. Caspersen, *PNAS* **106**(5), 13241329 (2009).
- [6] N Pineau, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **117**(24), 12778 (2013).
- [7] O. A. Hurricane, D. A. Callahan, D. T. Casey, P. M. Celliers, C. Cerjan, E. L. Dewald, T. R. Dittrich, T. Döppner, D. E. Hinkel, L. F. Berzak Hopkins, J. L. Kline, S. Le Pape, T. Ma, A. G. MacPhee, J. L. Milovich, A. Pak, H.-S. Park, P. K. Patel, B. A. Remington, J. D. Salmonson, P. T. Springer and R. Tommasini, *Nature* **506**, 343348 (2014).
- [8] L. B. Fletcher, H. J. Lee, T. Döppner, E. Galtier, B. Nagler, P. Heimann, C. Fortmann, S. LePape, T. Ma, M. Millot, A. Pak, D. Turnbull, D. A. Chapman, D. O. Gericke, J. Vorberger, T. White, G. Gregori, M. Wei, B. Barbreil, R. W. Falcone, C.-C. Kao, H. Nuhn, J. Welch, U. Zastrau, P. Neumayer, J. B. Hastings and S. H. Glenzer, *Nat. Photonics* **9** (4), 274-279 (2015).
- [9] J. M. McNaney, M. J. Edwards, R. Becker, K. T. Lorenz, and B. A. Remington, *Mettal. Trans. A* **35A**, 26252631 (2004).
- [10] B. A. Remington *et al.*, *Mettal. Trans. A* **35A**, 25872608 (2004).
- [11] E. M. Bringa, K. Rosolankova, R. E. Rudd, B. A. Remington, J. S. Wark, M. Duchaineau, D. H. Kalantar, J. Hawreliak and J. Belak, *Nat. Mater.* **5**, 805 - 809 (2006).
- [12] V. Dupont and T. C. Germann, *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 134111 (2012).
- [13] D. Milathianaki, S. Boutet, G. J. Williams, A. Higginbotham, D. Ratner, A. E. Gleason, M. Messerschmidt, M. M. Seibert, D. C. Swift, P. Hering, J. Robinson, W. E. White, J. S. Wark, *Science* **342**, 220 (2013).
- [14] T. D. Swinburne, M. G. Glavicic, K. M. Rahman, N. G. Jones, J. Coakley, D. E. Eakins, T. G. White, V. Tong, D. Milathianaki, G. J. Williams,

- D. Rugg, A. P. Sutton, and D. Dye, Phys. Rev. B **93** (14), 144119 (2016).
- [15] T. G. White, P. Mabey, D. O. Gericke, N. J. Hartley, H. W. Doyle, D. McGonegle, D. S. Rackstraw, A. Higginbotham, and G. Gregori, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 014305 (2014).
- [16] J. D. Honeycutt and H. C. Andersen, J. Phys. Chem. **91**(19), 49504963 (1987).
- [17] Cynthia L. Kelchner, S. J. Plimpton, and J. C. Hamilton, Phys. Rev. B **58**(17), 11085 (1998).
- [18] G. J. Ackland and A. P. Jones, Phys. Rev. B **73**(5), 054104 (2006).
- [19] A. Stukowski, Modell. Simul. Mater. Sci. Eng. **20**, 045021 (2012).
- [20] A. Higginbotham, M. J. Suggit, E. M. Bringa, P. Erhart, J. A. Hawreliak, G. Mogni, N. Park, B. A. Remington, and J. S. Wark, Phys. Rev. B **88**, 104105 (2013).
- [21] P. J. Steinhardt, D. R. Nelson and M. Ronchetti, Phys. Rev. B **28**, 784 (1983).
- [22] P. R. ten Wolde, M. J. Ruiz-Montero, and D. Frenkel, J. Chem. Phys. **104**, 9932 (1996).
- [23] W. Lechner and C. Dellago, J. Chem. Phys. **129**, 114707 (2008).
- [24] A. S. Keys, C. R. Iacovella and S. C. Glotzer, J. Comp. Phys. **230** 6438 (2011).
- [25] B. E. Warren, *X-ray diffraction* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1969).
- [26] S. Plimpton, J. Comput. Phys. **117**, 1 (1995).
- [27] A. Stukowski, Modelling Simul. Mater. Sci. Eng. **18**, 015012 (2010).
- [28] X. D. Dai, Y. Kong, J. H. Li, and B. X. Liu, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **18**, 4527 (2006).
- [29] M. S. Daw and M. I Baskes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 1285 (1983).

- [30] M. W. Finnis and J. E. Sinclair, *Phil. Mag. A* **50**, 45 (1984).
- [31] R. Ravelo, T. C. Germann, O. Guerrero, Q. An, and B. L. Holian, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 134101 (2013).
- [32] S. V. Razorenov, G. Garkushin, G. I. Kanel and O. N. Ignatova, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1426**, 991 (2012).
- [33] G. Whiteman, S. Case and J C F Millett, *J. Phys.: Conference Series* **500**, 112067 (2014).
- [34] J. W. Christian and S. Mahajan, *Prog. Mater. Sci.* **39**, 1 (1995).
- [35] R. J. McCabe, I. J. Beyerlein, J. S. Carpenter and N. A. Mara, *Nat. Coms.* **5**, 3806 (2014).
- [36] R. G. Hennig, T. J. Lenosky, D. R. Trinkle, S. P. Rudin, and J. W. Wilkins, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 054121 (2008).
- [37] D. R. Trinkle, Ph.D. thesis, The Ohio State University, Columbus, 2003.
- [38] H. Zong, X. Ding, T. Lookman, J. Li, J. Sun, E. K. Cerreta, J. P. Escobedo, F. L. Addessio, and C. A. Bronkhorst, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 220101 (2014).
- [39] D. McGonegle, D. Milathianaki, B. A. Remington, J. S. Wark and A. Higginbotham, *J. Appl. Phys.* **118**, 065902 (2015).